

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/666,928	09/21/2000	Jay Kin Keung	10188	6748
23455 7	2590 04/02/2004		EXAMINER	
EXXONMOBIL CHEMICAL COMPANY			VO, HAI	
P O BOX 2149) TX 77522-2149		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BATTOWN,	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		1771	
			DATE MAILED: 04/02/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/666,928	KEUNG ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hai Vo	1771				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 22 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejections.	on. See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply of the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The approriginally set in the finally	opriate extension Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5.⊠ The a)⊠ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)⊠ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>13-20</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 9, 10.						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) applied applied on is a)	roved or b) disapproved by the	he Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The affidavit has been entered and carefully considered However, it does not place the instant claims in condition for allowance for the following reasons. The language "comprising" does not exclude an embodiment wherein the film comprises a modifier to reduce crystallinity of the polypropylene in the cavitated core layer as described in the Keller reference. The examiner takes the position that the term "high" is relative and the present claims do not ascertain to what degree the claimed stereo-regularity of the polypropylene homopolymer in the cavitated core layer is high. What may be considered to one skilled on the art as "high stereo-regularity" may be considered by another skilled in the polymer art as "low stereo-regularity" and vice versa. Further, Keller discloses the core layer comprising a blend of an isotatic polypropylene and a modifier. It appears that the stereo-regularity is always formed from the isostatic polymer (see paper "Principles of Polymer Engineering" submitted by Applicant filed on 04/28/2003). Therefore, it is the examiner's position that Keller broadly discloses "high" stereo-regularity of polypropylene.

TERREL MORRIS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700